| - OW | ENTAL PROTECTION | |
|--------------|------------------|-------|
| A BRIT | V | alle. |
| FLO | RIDA | |
| 層 FLU | KIDA | - Ale |

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) | COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0251000 DATE: <u>8/24/2010</u> | ARRIVE: <u>11:54 AM</u> DEPART: <u>12:30 PM</u> | | | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME: RODS CLEANERS FACILITY LOCATION: 9463 HARDING AVE SURFSIDE 33154-2803 | | | | | | | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MARI Email: fernandoartamendi@hotmail.com CONTACT NAME: FERNANDO ARTAMENDI Email: fernandoartamendi@hotmail.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/13/2009 / 6/13/2014 (effective date) (end date) | IA ARTAMENDI PHONE: (305)866-3029 Mobile: PHONE: (305)866-3029 Mobile: | | | | | | | |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | | | | | |
| PART II:FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check \Box only one box in A)- Rule 62-2A. 1.Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)-3.Existing large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 \leq x \leq 2,100 gal/yr transfer only, 200 \leq x \leq 1,800 gal/yr both types, 140 \leq x \leq 1,800 gal/yr both types, 140 \leq x \leq 1,800 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)5.Ineligible for General Permit d rop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limits | 213.300 FAC 2. <u>New small area source</u> dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91) 4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91) | | | | | | | |

B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 19.30 gallons.

| PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | | | check ☑ x for each q | only one uestion) | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers? | N N | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | | |
| 2. Are all perc. containers leak free ? | <u>ا</u> | Yes | No No | N/A | | | |
| 3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading? | X Y | Yes | 🗌 No | | | | |
| Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? | ע 🛛 | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | | |
| 5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with | | | | | | | |
| manufacturer's instructions. | <u> </u> | Yes | No No | N/A | | | |
| 6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications? | <u> </u> | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> , this form) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1. If the f acility classification is an <u>existing small area source</u> , no controls are required. Pr | roceed | to Pa | ırt V. | | | | |
| 2. If the facility classification is a new small area source , the machine should be equipped we condenser. Complete section A. below. | with a 1 | refrige | erated | | | | |
| 3. If the facility classification is an existing large area source , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i> | | | | | | | |
| 4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below. | | | | | | | |
| A. Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> : | | (check 🗹 only one box for each question) | | | | | |
| 1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls? | X 1 | Yes | 🗌 No | | | | |
| 2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | | |
| 3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door? | ע 🛛 | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | | |
| 4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis? | ¥ 🛛 | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged? -----

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)

| В. | For all existing large or new large area sources: | | | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|-----|-------|-------|
| 1. | Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis? | | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 2. | Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly? | | Yes | D No | □ N/A |
| | a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F? | | Yes | No No | N/A |
| 3. | Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, | _ | | _ | |
| | if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber? | | Yes | 🗌 No | □ N/A |
| | a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 4. | Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, | | | | |
| | contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 5. | Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils? | | Yes | 🗌 No | □ N/A |
| 6. | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |

| PA | ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC | | ` | (check ☑ ox for each q | only one question) |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ? | \boxtimes | Yes Yes | □ No □ No | |
| | Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following: | | | | |
| | a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or; | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| | b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 4. | Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 5. | Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 6. | Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 7. | Are deviation reports maintained? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| ł | a) Problem corrected? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 8. | Is a compliance plan maintained, if applicable? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| ı | | | | | I |

| P | ART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | | (check 🗹 | only one |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks? | | ox for each | • |
| | Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used | | | |
| 2. | Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to | | | |
| | the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate | | | |
| | procedure) ? | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 3. | For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer | | | |
| | operated according to EPA Method 21 ? | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 4. | Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of | | | |
| | each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along | | | |
| | the interface periphery? | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 5. | Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or | | | |
| | infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per | | | |
| | million by volume (based on documented specifications) ? | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 6. | Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations | | | |
| | of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and | | | |
| | indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting | | | |
| | an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? \square | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 7. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn | nell or | touch) whi | le the |
| | system is in operation (§63.322(k))? | | | |
| | (Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp | pection | of perceptik | le leaks) |
| | a) Hose connections, fittings, couplings, and valves X b) Door gaskets and seating X c) Filter gaskets and seating X d) Pumps X e) Solvent tanks and containers X f) Water separators X f) Water separators | Yes Yes | □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No | □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A |
| 8. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog | enated | hydrocarb | on detector |
| | or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag | graph sh | hall satisfy t | he |
| | requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l)) | | | |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating Xes No N/A h) Stills X c) Filter gaskets and seating Xes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers X | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No | □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A |

| PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule | e 62-213.300 FAC (continued) | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--|
| 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed a | as required? | |
| Leak log documentation RO Assurances | \square On-site observation \square other | |
| Explain other : | | |
| FRANK DELGADO | 8/24/2010 | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection | |
| | 8/2011 | |
| Inspector's Signature | Approximate Date of Next Inspection | |
| COMMENTS: NO LEAKS WERE DETECTED IN TH | | |

COMMENTS: NO LEAKS WERE DETECTED IN THE DRY CLEANING MACHINE. RECORDS WERE AVAILABLE AND FOUND UP-TO-DATE. MARIA ARTAMENDI, OWNER OF THE FACILITY ATTENDED ME.